



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU, Acting Director

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (626) 458-5100
<http://dpw.lacounty.gov>

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE: T-6

May 12, 2008

TO: Each Supervisor

FROM: Dean D. Efstathiou
Acting Director of Public Works

**REQUEST TO EXECUTE TWO SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS
GATEWAY CITIES TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION AND BUS SPEED
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT-INTERSTATE 105 CORRIDOR
(DELCAN CORPORATION)
INTERSTATE 5/TELEGRAPH ROAD CORRIDOR
(SIEMENS INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS)**

RECOMMENDATION

This is a request to award Sole Source contracts with Delcan Corporation and Siemens Intelligent Transportation Systems for the Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvement Project-Interstate 105 Corridor Project and the Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvement Project-Interstate 5 Corridor Project, respectively.

BACKGROUND

The Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvement Project-Interstate 105 Corridor Project contract with Delcan Corporation (PW71689) expired on September 28, 2007. When this contract expired, \$1,948,405 out of the total contract sum of \$3,468,850 had been expended.

The Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvement Project-Interstate 5 Corridor Project contract with Siemens Intelligent Transportation Systems (PW12168) expired on October 5, 2007. When this contract expired, \$1,435,578 out of the total contract sum of \$2,064,090 had been expended.

Each Supervisor
May 12, 2008
Page 2

There is additional work that needs to be completed under both of these contracts. This work is funded by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) grants, which have a funding deadline of June 30, 2009. Please note, that at the time these contracts expired, there was uncertainty on whether or not we would receive a time extension for the grant from Metro. Given our need to deliver each project by the Metro-imposed deadline, which was recently extended to June 30, 2009, incompatibility of this deadline with the timeline of issuing new Requests for Proposal, and the unique familiarity that each firm has with the projects given their prior work on the expired contracts, we recommend proceeding with awarding these two Sole Source contracts. We completed an analysis of each contract and are only requesting funds for those tasks that are considered the most critical and necessary to be completed by a consultant.

Attached is the completed checklist that is required in the Board of Supervisors' February 2008, policy on Sole Source contracts. Our next step is to begin negotiations with each consultant and prepare the necessary Board letter. We will precede with the Sole Source contract negotiations with both firms within two weeks unless otherwise instructed.

If you have any questions, please call me, or your staff may contact William H. Higley, Deputy Director, at (626) 458-4016.

ROC:pc

P:\t\pub\WPFILES\FILES\TRA\SELAC\I-5_I-105 CEO Memo_Sole Source_Revised.Doc.doc

Attach.

cc: Chief Executive Office (Lari Sheehan)
County Counsel (Paul Hanson)

Check (✓)	JUSTIFICATION FOR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS <i>Identify applicable justification and provide documentation for each checked item.</i>
	➤ Only one bona fide source for the service exists; performance and price competition are not available.
	➤ Quick action is required (emergency situation).
	➤ Proposals have been solicited but no satisfactory proposals were received.
✓	➤ Additional services are needed to complete an ongoing task and it would be prohibitively costly in time and money to seek a new service provider.
	➤ Maintenance service agreements exist on equipment which must be serviced by the authorized manufacturer's service representatives.
	➤ It is more cost-effective to obtain services by exercising an option under an existing contract.
✓	➤ It is in the best interest of the County, e.g., administrative cost savings, excessive learning curve for a new service provider, etc.
	➤ Other reason. Please explain:
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div data-bbox="245 1129 740 1171">_____ Deputy Chief Executive Officer, CEO</div> <div data-bbox="1024 1129 1166 1171">_____ Date</div> </div>	

Each County department head is also required to report to the Chief Executive Officer by June 30 of each year those sole source contracts under \$250,000 executed by/for their department for the fiscal year ending on June 30. The Chief Executive Officer will compile the list and submit it to the Board of Supervisors.

RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT

Chief Administrative Executive Office

Internal Services Department